

Woodrow Wilson Center, Synbio project, 12-05-10

EC Initiatives on the governance and ethics of Synthetic Biology



European Commission Research DG RTD-L3 - Governance and Ethics Dr. Lino Paula, Policy Officer*

*The views expressed are those of the speaker and do not necessarily reflect the official opinion of the European Communities





What policy instruments to address emerging technologies?

- New forms of collaboration: the Research DG approach to governance and ethics
- Current activities relevant to Synthetic Biology

Some challenges for policy makers (and scientists) in addressing governance and ethics issues of Synthetic Biology





- New technologies such as nanotechnology, ICT and synthetic biology have in common that they operate at the convergence of 'traditional' disciplines, which make them **inherently complex** both in terms of scientific and societal impact.
- Converging technologies are also rapidly 'moving targets' which are hard to confine and define
- How can such rapid and complex developments be timely and adequately regulated?





How can such rapid and complex developments be timely and adequately regulated:

Instruments available:

- Binding law (Conventions, Directives, Regulations)
- "Soft" law (code of conduct, guidelines, 'Open Method of Coordination'
 - best practice benchmarking)
- Engagement approaches ('upstream' engagement, stakeholder dialogue, societal deliberation)
- > Soft law and engagement \neq binding law without sanctions!
 - Soft law and engagement can address a wider range of issues (ethical, social), with a wider involvement, than binding law can.- and can be aspirational

CAPACITIES



Past experiences in Europe with e.g. genetic modification also show that regulating via binding law alone does not suffice

For a complex technology a complex mix of instruments is warranted, ideally starting with engagement approaches and moving via soft law towards – if needed – binding law





The approach of the Research DG

General philosophy behind the governance approach of the Research DG:

- 'Upstream' two-way dialogue with all stakeholders to internalise ethical and social aspects in the design of new products and practises
 - > Materialising in support for **engagement** and **soft-law** approaches;
 - Which deal with a wider range of issues than risk assessment only









The approach of the Research DG

Actions supporting the <u>soft-law</u> approach: (NOT SPECIFICALLY FOCUSED ON SYNBIO!)

- EC Ethical Review
 - Carried out on all EC funded research projects that are ethically `sensitive', providing guidance to researchers
- European Group on Ethics (:BEPA)
 - > advisory body to the President of the European Commission
 - Opinions provide guidance to EC funded research and beyond
- Code of Conduct for Responsible Nanotechnology
 - Adhering to general principles like sustainability, precaution, inclusiveness, responsibility



"Introduction to the Commission's Recommendation on a Code of Conduct for Responsible Nanosciences and Nanotechnologies Research"

Code of Conduct for Responsible Nanotechnology

- Recommendation to the Member States
- Political signal to all stakeholders
- Principles (meaning, inclusiveness,...)
- Actions (good governance, precaution)
- Process (monitoring, feedback, revision...)





The approach of the Research DG

Actions supporting the <u>communicative</u> approach:

EC's Framework Programme for Research (currently FP7):

- 'ELSA'/Governance research projects on synthetic biology
 their impact and frameworks for assessment
- Support Actions' focusing on capacity building, infrastructures, networking, exchange of best practice
 - *`Mobilisation and Mutual Learning action plans' MML*





ELSA' research projects on synthetic biology (FP7)

SYNTH-ETHICS; synthetics.eu

- addresses ethical, legal and social implications with a special focus on biosafety, biosecurity and on notions of life;
- In close collaboration with the synthetic biology community;
- Analysing **public debate** and current ethical and regulative frameworks existing in synthetic biology - and closely related fields like nanobiotechnology and genetic engineering;
- Identifying challenges for current regulatory and ethical frameworks and recommendations for dealing with them, targeted at 1) the synthetic biology community, 2) EU policy makers and 3) NGOs/the public



EUROPEAN COMMISSION

ELSA' research projects on synthetic biology (FP7)

SYBHEL; sybhel.org

- Evaluation of the impact of SynBio on human health/well-being;
- Research on cross-cutting themes: the definition of SynBio, scientific research, safety and justice;
- Create a hub for all researchers and policy-makers interested in ethical, legal and social issues arising in SynBio as it applies to human health to meet and exchange ideas;
- Recommendations for regulation and commercialisation of SynBioas it applies to human health and well-being;
- Determine a strategy for **policy deliberation** on SynBio





Support Actions' focusing on capacity building, infrastructures, networking, exchange of best practice (NOT SPECIFICALLY FOCUSED ON SYNBIO)

- Forum of National Ethics Councils; in dialogue with the EGE and International Dialogue on ethics
- European Network of Research Ethics Committees (EUREC)

Networking and providing training for members of Research Ethics Committees (RECs)

Specific actions, e.g. EC-UNESCO Conference 'Joint Action for Capacity Building in Bioethics', Global Forum on Bioethics in Research, etc.



CAPACITIES



- To bring together trans-disciplinary consortia
- To develop and implement multi-annual Mobilisation and Mutual Learning Action Plans
- Oriented towards a Societal Challenge
- Encompassing a series of `Science in Society` actions





Some challenges for communicative and soft law approaches in Synthetic Biology





A Code of Conduct for Synthetic Biology?

A code has been developed by industry, but for DNA synthesis only: is this adequate and sufficient?

If not, what warrants an additional specific Code for Synthetic Biology? – i.e. what should such a code address? (is there a need for a specific synbio governance and ethics?

- But how effective can a Code of Conduct, addressing mainly (selfidentified!) professionals, be in an age of `**DIY', `garage'** synthetic biology?
 - If synthetic biology truly becomes `citizens` science`, then wider engagement actions become even more warranted





Diybio.org:







The Achilles' heel of public engagement/ dialogue

Timing and Framing:

Timing (:reflecting Collingridge's dilemma)

- too early: little societal and political interest (e.g. dialogue efforts in NL on Synbio)
- too late: too much polarisation and vested interest to have an open dialogue that can still give direction to policy decisions

Framing

- Top Down: danger of government control, limited connection to public concerns
- Bottom up: danger of stakeholder hijacking, limited connection to policy decision making





in framing and language when collaboration between:

- > Synbio scientists and civil society/citizens
- > Synbio scientists and social scientists/ethicists
- ethicists and civil society/citizens ("playing God")



EUROPEAN COMMISSION Community research

"Playing God"



EXTREME GENETIC ENGINEERING

An Introduction to Synthetic Biology





lanuary 2007



The Achilles' heel of public engagement/ dialogue (3)

Both timing and framing of societal dialogue on synbio require careful consideration:

- > When?
 - Society is largely still unaware about synbio and;
 - No 'real' synbio consumer products on the market
 - > About what?
 - Biosecurity? Health and environmental safety? Sanctity of life? Scientific hubris? Equity?
 - At this point societal concerns are not well identified, let alone focalised
 - But terms like 'designing life' or even 'synthetic biology' itself can become perceived as reflecting a framing (:on the part of the synbio community) that is insensitive to societal concerns



2009 UK Royal Academy of Engineering public opinion survey:

Figure 4.2 'What comes to mind when I say synthetic biology' ORGANISM SCIENTIFIC DNA NYLON FOO MAKING LIVING CELL PRODUCTS NEW RODUCE GENETICAL DNIS roops HEAD ORGAN ARTS MAD TREMUDLOOT MEDICAL HEALTH MANBEADE MATERIALS PE0

Base: All respondents (1,005)





Community research

`wild cards` in public framing







To answer the `when` and the `what` question, further research on public and stakeholder concerns is needed





Summarising:

Research, dialogue and policy action about concerns beyond risk assessment are warranted;

Engagement approaches have to play an important role in addressing the governance and ethics challenges of synthetic biology



E U R O P E COMMISS

Thank you for your attention

Contact:

Dr. Lino Paula Policy Officer, Governance and Ethics Unit European Commission, Research Directorate-General Directorate L: Science, Economy and Society SDME 7/80 Tel. (+32-2) 2963873 Fax. 2984694 lino.paula@ec.europa.eu

